	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/567,074	SCHERER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEANINE A. GOLDBERG	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>after amendment</u>	
(1) JEANINE A. GOLDBERG.	(3) <i>Maria Cedroni</i> .	
(2) <u>Doreen Hogle</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>24 June 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all pending		
Prior art documents discussed: WO2004038003		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The examiner called the applicant to propose a further amendm Applicant agreed to the amendment to Claim 47. Applicant agreelected subject matter. Claim 49 has been rejoined.	nent to Claim 47 to place the claim	in condition for allowance.
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jeanine A Goldberg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)